## **ANALYZING SEX**

## enhances all phases of research

- Report the sex of your subjects, even in single-sex studies.
- Report the sex distribution of the cells, animals, or human subjects.
- Report how information on sex was obtained.
- Disaggregate reported results by sex.
- Ensure that sex variations are properly visualized in the tables, figures, and conclusions.
- Avoid overemphasising sex differences. Are observed sex differences of practical significance? (Maney et al., 2016; Ribbon et al., 2014).
- Report all results: positive, negative, and inconclusive.
- Consider following the SAGER publication guidelines (Heidari et al., 2016).
- Identify problem Design research Sex Collect Disseminate data Analyze
- Examine overlaps between and variations within groups of different sexes (see, e.g., Maney et al., 2016).
- Consider the source of any sex difference observed, including the role of environmental, genetic, hormonal, or anthropometric factors.
- When examining sex differences, adjust for possible intersecting and confounding factors (e.g. age). Overlooking confounding factors may result in overemphasising sex differences.
- In longitudinal studies, examine how observed sex variations evolve over time.
- Analyze how observed sex differences may vary by factors such as age, ethnicity, socioeconomic status.

- Sex may play a role in all studies involving human or non-human animals (Karp, 2023).
- Perform a literature review to identify how sex may be of relevance to your study (Moerman et al., 2009).
- Consider whether sex is a <u>covariate</u>, <u>confounder</u>, <u>or explanatory variable</u> (Pape et. al., 2024).
- Consider what sex-related characteristics are of relevance to your study (e.g. genetic, physiological, hormonal, anthropometric, biomechanical, injury thresholds, levels of pain tolerance, etc.) (Tannenbaum et al., 2019).
- Consider how sex-related factors interact with gender, ethnicity, age, socioeconomic status, lifestyle, etc.
- Consider what opportunities have been missed in the past as a result of failing to analyze sex.
  - Sex may serve as a direct <u>explanatory factor or act as a potential</u> <u>modulator</u> for associations between other factors; drawing a causal diagram helps make underlying assumptions explicit (see e.g. Buckley et al. 2017).
  - In experimental studies, consider factorial designs to reduce the sample size required for sex-based comparisons (Buch et al. 2017; Miller et al. 2019).
  - Consider how sex should be conceptualised in data collection; does your research concern physiological, hormonal, anthropometric, or biomechanical aspects? (Tannenbaum et al., 2019).
  - In longitudinal research, consider how reproductive history may influence the cohort under investigation; will, e.g., data acquisition be impacted if females get pregnant during the study?
  - Consider how to collect information on <u>intersex subjects</u> and <u>hermaphrodite animals</u>.
  - Include adequate numbers of females and males and, where relevant, intersex or hermaphrodites of different configurations in research samples.
  - Record information on factors that <u>intersect</u> with sex (e.g. age, life-style, socioeconomic status).
  - In experiments, consider how the sex of the researcher may impact research outcomes (Chapman et al. 2018).
  - In survey research, <u>questions about gender</u> should not be used as a proxy for birth sex.
  - In product and systems design, data collection should pay careful attention to anthropometric, biomechanical, and physiological factors that vary by sex (Tannenbaum et al., 2019; Jingwen et al. 2012).

## Works Cited

- Buch, T. et al. (2019). Benefits of a factorial design focusing on inclusion of female and male animals in one experiment. J. Mol. Med. 97, 871–877.
- Buckley, J. P., Doherty, B. T., Keil, A. P., & Engel, S. M. (2017). Statistical approaches for estimating sex-specific effects in endocrine disruptors research. *Environmental health perspectives*, 125(6), 067013.
- Chapman, C. D., Benedict, C., & Schiöth, H. B. (2018). Experimenter gender and replicability in science. *Science advances*, 4(1), e1701427.
- Jingwen, H. U., Rupp, J. D., & Reed, M. P. (2012). Focusing on vulnerable populations in crashes: recent advances in finite element human models for injury biomechanics research. *J. Automot. Saf. Energy*, *3*, 295-307.
- Karp, N.A., Berdoy, M., Hunt, L., Jennings, M., Kerton, A., Leach, M., ... & Whitfield, L. (2023). SIRF: Sex Inclusive Research Framework: An evaluation framework to assess whether an in vivo research proposal follows the sex-inclusive research philosophy.
- Maney, D. L. (2016). Perils and pitfalls of reporting sex differences. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 371(1688), 20150119.
- Miller, L. R. et al. (2017). Considering sex as a biological variable in preclinical research. FASEB J. 31, 29–34
- Moerman, C., Deurenberg, R., & Haafkens, J. (2009). Locating sex-specific evidence on clinical questions in MEDLINE: a search filter for use on OvidSP. *BioMed Central Medicine Medical Research Methodology*, 9(1), 25.
- Pape, M., Miyagi, M., Ritz, S. A., Boulicault, M., Richardson, S.S., & Maney, D. L. (2024). Sex contextualism in laboratory research: enhancing rigor and precision in the study of sex-related variables. *Cell*, 187(6), 1316-1326.
- Rippon, G., Jordan-Young, R., Kaiser, A., & Fine, C. (2014). Recommendations for sex/gender neuroimaging research: key principles and implications for research design, analysis, and interpretation. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 8, 650.